

W'21 CS 584/684 Algorithm Design & Analysis

Fang Song

Lecture 14

Network flow
Ford-Fulkerson algorithm

Recap: flow network

Abstraction for material flowing through the edges.

- G = (V, E) directed graph, no parallel edges.
- Two distinguished nodes: s = source, t = sink.
- c(e): capacity of edge e, $\forall e \in E$.



Flows

e into *v*

• Definition. An s - t flow is a function $f: E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying

• [Capacity] $\forall e \in E : 0 \leq f(e) \leq c(e)$.

[Conservation] $\forall v \in V - \{s, t\}$: $\sum f(e)$

Definition. The value of a flow f is v(f)



$$f(e) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } v} f(e)$$

$$f(e) := \sum_{e \text{ out of } s} f(e)$$



- Recall. A cut is a subset of vertices.
- Def. s t cut: (A, B = V A) partition of V with $s \in A$ and $t \in B$. • Def. Capacity of cut (A, B): $cap(A, B) = \sum c(e)$



Cuts

e out of A

How do they relate? Max flow Min cut



• Find s - t flow of maximum value. • Find s - t cut of minimum capacity.

Useful observations

flow across the cut is equal to the amount leaving s (i.e., value of flow).

- the flow is at most the capacity of the cut.
- v(f) = cap(A, B), then f is a max flow, and (A, B) a min cut.

• Flow-value lemma. Let f be any flow, and let (A, B) be any s - t cut. Then the net

$$\sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ into } A} f(e) = v(f)$$

• Weak duality. Let f be any flow, and let (A, B) be any s - t cut. Then the value of $v(f) \leq cap(A, B)$

• Corollary of weak duality. Let f be any flow, and let (A, B) be any s - t cut. If

Max-flow Min-cut theorem

A constructive proof: augmenting path

Theorem. Value of max flow = capacity of min cut. [Strong duality]

Residual graph

- Original edge: $e = (u, v) \in E$
 - Capacity c(e), low f(e).
- Residual edge: "undo" flow
 - e = (u, v) and $e^{R} = (v, u)$
 - Residual capacity with flow *f*:

$$C_f(u,v) = \begin{cases} c(u,v) - f(u,v) \\ f(v,u) \end{cases}$$

- Residual graph $G_f = (V, E_f)$
 - Residual edges with positive residual capacity. •
 - $E_f = \{e : f(e) < c(e)\} \cup \{e^R : f(e) > 0\}.$





if $(u, v) \in E$ if $(v, u) \in E$



- Definition. An augmenting path is a simple $s \rightsquigarrow t$ path in residual graph G_f .
- Output Definition. The bottleneck capacity of an augmenting path P is the minimum residual capacity of any edge in P.



Which augmenting path has the highest bottleneck capacity?

Augmenting path



- Theorem. *f* is a max flow iff. NO augmenting paths $s \rightsquigarrow t$ in G_f . A.k.a. Algorithmic max-flow min-cut theorem.
- Proof. We show the following equivalence ($a \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow a$)
 - a. f is a max flow.
 - b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to f).

Augmenting path theorem

Corollary of weak b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to f). c. There exists a cut (A, B) such that cap(A, B) = v(f). duality. Also implies (A, B) a min-cut.

• N.B. $a \Leftrightarrow c$ is Max-flow min-cut Theorem: value of max flow = capacity of min cut.

Augmenting path theorem: proof

- a. *f* is a max flow.
- b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to *f*).
- c. There exists a cut (A, B) such that cap(A, B) = v(f).

• $a \Rightarrow b$. We show contrapositive $\neg b \Rightarrow \neg a$.

• If \exists augmenting path, we can find a new flow f' with larger flow value below.

 $\delta \leftarrow$ bottleneck capacity of augmenting path P.

For each $e \in P, f'(e) := \begin{cases} f(e) + \delta & \text{if } e \in E \\ f(e) - \delta & \text{if } e^R \in E \end{cases}$

- Exercise. Verify f' is a feasible flow (capacity and conservation hold).
- $v(f') = v(f) + \delta > v(f)$ because only first edge in P leaves s.

Augmenting path theorem: proof cont'd

- a. *f* is a max flow.
- b. There is no augmenting path (with respect to *f*). There exists a cut (A, B) such that cap(A, B) = v(f). **C**.
- $b \Rightarrow c$. Assuming G_f has no augmenting path.
 - Edge (v, w) with $v \in B, w \in A$ must have f(e) = 0B)Edge (v, w) with $v \in A, w \in B$ must have f(e) = c(e)12
 - Let A be the set of nodes reachable from s in G_{f} . • Clearly $s \in A$, $t \notin A$. (A, B = S - A) is an s - t cut. • Obs. On edges of G go from A to B.

$$v(f) = \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} f(e) - \sum_{e \text{ into } A} f(e)$$
$$= \sum_{e \text{ out of } A} c(e) - 0 = cap(A),$$

Ford-Fulkerson algorithm

Ford-Fulkerson augmenting path algorithm

Augment(f, c, P) $\delta \leftarrow \text{bottleneck}$ capacity of augmenting path P. For each $e \in P$ If $e \in E$, $f'(e) = f(e) + \delta$ $\operatorname{Else} f'(e) = f(e) - \delta$ Return f'

Ford-Fulkerson(G, s, t, c) For each $e \in E$ $f(e) \leftarrow 0, G_f \leftarrow \text{residual graph}$ While there is an augmenting path P in G_f $f \leftarrow \text{Augment}(f, c, P)$ Update G_f Return f'









2

3

S



v(f) = 8



2

S







2

S









2

S







2

S







2

S







Cut $(A = \{s, 3\}, B = S - A), cap(A, B) = 19$

Fork-Fulkerson algorithm: summary so far

Ford-Fulkerson While you can Greedily push flow Update residual graph

- Correctness. Augment path theorem.
- Running time. Does it terminate at all?

Fork-Fulkerson algorithm: analysis

- Assumption. All capacities are integers between 1 and C.
 Invariant. Every flow value f(e) and every residual capacity c_f(e) remains an
- Invariant. Every flow value f(e) and integer throughout the algorithm.
- Theorem. Ford-Fulkerson terminates in at most *nC* iterations.
 Proof.
 - Each augmentation increases flow value by at least 1.
 - There are at most *nC* units of capacity leaving source *s*.

Running time: O(mnc). Space O(m + n).

More to come on further concerns/improvements ...

- Integrality theorem. All If all capacities are integres, then there is a max flow f where every flow value f(e) is an integer.
- + n).Find an augmenting path inO(m) time (by BFS/DFS)



Scratch