## **Practice Exam**

Winter 2018, CS 485/585 Crypto Portland State University Name: \_\_\_\_\_

## Instructions

- This exam contains 8 pages (including this cover page) and 5 questions. Total of points is 90.
- You have 100 minutes. Be strategic and allocate your time wisely.
- You may use two double-sided letter size (8.5-by-11) study sheet. Calculator is allowed. Any other resources and electrical devices (e.g. laptops, phones) are NOT permitted.
- Your work will be graded on correctness and clarity. Please write legibly.
- Don't forget to write your name on top!

Question	Points	Score
1	30	
2	17	
3	12	
4	15	
5	16	
Total:	90	

Grade Table (for instructor use only)

- 1. Short answers.
  - (a) (5 points) Suppose Alice wants to encrypt a 1000-bit message. She is considering using the one-time pad, or the shift cipher over an alphabet of size  $2^{1000}$  (instead of 26). What is key space size in each scheme?
    - One-time pad:
    - Shift cipher:
  - (b) (5 points) For a uniformly random function  $\mathcal{O} : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ , does it always hold that  $\mathcal{O}(x) \neq \mathcal{O}(x')$  when  $x \neq x'$ ? Answer (yes, no, or unknown) and justify your answer.
  - (c) (5 points) A secure MAC (i.e., unforgeable under chosen-message attack) cannot have a deterministic signing algorithm  $S_k(\cdot)$ . Is this statement True or False? Justify your answer.
  - (d) (5 points) Let  $F : \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$  be a secure PRF with  $\mathcal{Y} = \{0,1\}^n$ . Is  $F_0(k,x) := F(k,x)[0,\ldots,\ell]$  also a secure PRF for every  $0 \le \ell \le n$ ? Here  $F_0(k,x)$  outputs the first  $\ell$  bits of the output of F(k,x).
  - (e) (5 points) When using RSA-FDH (Full-Domain-Hash) to sign messages, how many valid signatures are there for a given message *m* for a fixed verification key? (the hash function used in RSA-FDH is fixed). Justify your answer.
  - (f) (5 points) Recall the complexity classes P and NP. Suppose that P = NP. Is secure *symmetric-key* encryption possible? Justify your answer.

- 2. Iron man recently opened a startup company "WealthyCoin", and designed a few *symmetrickey cryptography* schemes.
  - (a) (5 points) Let G be a PRG, construct  $G'(s) = G(s) \oplus G(\bar{s})$ . Is G' necessarily a PRG? Give a sketch proof or counterexample. (Here  $\bar{s} = s \oplus 1^{|s|}$  denotes the bitwise complement of s, which sends each 0 to 1 and each 1 to 0.)

(b) (6 points) Let P<sub>k</sub> be a pseudorandom permutation with uniformly random key k ∈ {0,1}<sup>n</sup>. To encrypt a message m ∈ {0,1}<sup>n/2</sup>, choose uniformly random r ∈ {0,1}<sup>n/2</sup> and output ciphertext c = P<sub>k</sub>(r||m). Iron man claims this is a CCA-secure scheme. Do you agree? If so, give a proof; otherwise, give an attack and determine what security definition it achieves.

(c) (6 points) Let  $H : \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^{128}$  be a collision resistant hash function known to the adversary. Define a MAC  $S_k(m) := H(m) \oplus k$ . Is this a secure MAC? If so, explain why. If not, describe an attack.

- 3. Wonder woman becomes excited about the brave new world of *public-key* cryptography, and she has proposed some constructions.
  - (a) (6 points)  $E'_{pk}(m) = E_{pk}(k) || P_k(m)$ , where *E* is a CPA-secure public-key encryption algorithm, pk is generated according to the key generator of *E* on input  $1^n$ .  $P_k(\cdot)$  is a pseudorandom permutation. Is *E'* necessarily CPA secure? Give a sketch proof or show an attack.

(b) (6 points) Double sign. Let  $\Pi = (G, S, V)$  be a secure signature scheme. Construct  $\Pi' = (G' = G, S', V')$  such that:  $S'_{sk}(m) := S_{sk}(m||m)$ ; and Verify:  $V'_{pk}(m, \sigma) := V_{pk}(m||m, \sigma)$ . Is  $\Pi'$  secure? Give a sketch proof or show an attack.

(c) (Bonus 2pts) Based on above, who has a better sense in cryptography (i.e., higher rate of constructing secure schemes), Iron man or Wonder woman?

- 4. Expanding the message space of a cipher.
  - (a) (8 points) Let (E, D) be a CPA-secure encryption scheme that encrypts messages in some space  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $(E_0, D_0)$  encrypt messages in  $\mathcal{M}^{\ell}$ , for some  $\ell > 1$ , by encrypting each component independently, but using the same secret key. That is, for  $\ell = 3$ ,  $E_0(k, (m_0, m_1, m_2)) = (E(k, m_0), E(k, m_1), E(k, m_2))$ . Is  $(E_0, D_0)$  CPA secure? If so, explain why. If not, describe an attack.

(b) (7 points) Suppose that (E, D) provides authenticated encryption. Does  $(E_0, D_0)$  provide authenticated encryption? If so, explain why. If not, describe an attack.

5. (Collision resistant hash function from the RSA problem) Let n be a random RSA modulus, e a prime and relatively prime to  $\phi(n)$ , and u random in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Show that the function

$$H_{n,u,e}: \mathbb{Z}_n^* \otimes \{0, \dots, e-1\} \to \mathbb{Z}_n^*$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto x^e u^y \in \mathbb{Z}_n \,,$$

is collision resistant assuming that the RSA problem (i.e., taking *e*th roots modulo *n*) is hard. Suppose *A* is an algorithm that takes *n*, *u* as input and outputs a collision for  $H_{n,u,e}(\cdot)$ . Your goal is to construct an algorithm *B* for computing *e*th roots modulo *n*.

(a) (5 points) Your algorithm B takes random n, u as input and should output  $u^{1/e}$ . First, show how to use A to construct  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a^e = u^b$  and  $0 \neq |b| < e$ .

(b) (6 points) Clearly a<sup>1/b</sup> is an eth root of u (since (a<sup>1/b</sup>)<sup>e</sup> = u), but unfortunately for B, it cannot compute roots in Z<sub>n</sub>. Nevertheless, show how B can compute the eth root of u from a, u, e, b. This will complete your description of algorithm B. Hint: since e is prime and 0 ≠ |b| < e we know that b and e are relatively prime. Hence, there are integers s, t so that bs + et = 1. Use a, u, s, t to find the eth root of u in Z<sub>n</sub>.

(c) (5 points) Show that if the factorization of n becomes public, then the function is not even a one-way function.

Scrap paper – no exam questions here.