Winter'17 CS 485/585 Intro to Cryptography

CS 485/585: Cryptography



Fang Song Email: fang.song@pdx.edu Office: FAB 120-07

- Meetings: T/Th 2 3:50 pm @ FAB 47
- Office Hours: T/W 4-5 pm or by appointment
- Course webpage:

http://www.fangsong.info/teaching/w17_4585_icrypto/

- Check regularly for updates and announcements.
- **Resource** page contains useful materials

Text

- Required: Katz-Lindell [KL] 2nd edition
- Boneh-Shoup [BS]: grad course in applied crypto
 - https://crypto.stanford.edu/~dabo/cryptobook/
- Goldreich: Foundations of Cryptography Vol I, II



A Graduate Course in Applied Cryptography

Dan Boneh and <u>Victor Shoup</u>



What is this course about?

A CONCEPTUAL & THEORETICAL tour to modern cryptography

- YES Ideas
 - Formal methods to security
 - How to define, reason/prove?
- **NO** Implementations

Important, but not our focus • How to secure your systems & network?

Goal: cryptographic way of thinking

- Solid foundation for real-world security
- Appreciate the intellectual beauty
- Beneficial far beyond cryptography

Prerequisite

Comfortable with **READING & WRITING** *Mathematical* **proofs**

Did you *enjoy* CS 311, CS 350 or equiv.?

- Reasonable math background helpful
 - Basic probability theory, linear algebra, number theory, algorithm analysis ...

??? No idea about "Big-Oh notation, random variable, independence, matrices, eigenvalue, congruence..."



• Programming not required

Main topics

- 1. Overview. (1 week)
 - History, principles of modern crypto, perfect secrecy
- 2. Private-key (symmetric) crypto (4 weeks)
 - Encryption, message authentication, hash functions
- 3. Public-key (Asymmetric) crypto (3 weeks)
 - Key-exchange, public-key encryption, digital signatures
- 4. Selected topics (2 weeks)
 - Zero-knowledge, secure computation, fully-homomorphic encryption, quantum computing & quantum-safe crypto

Policy: grade

- 10%: participation
- 40%: homework.
 - 5 assignments, one lowest score dropped
- 20%: 4 closed-book in-class quizzes
- 30%: final exam
 - You can prepare 2*double-sided letter-size notes

Policy: homework

- Turn in hard copies before lecture on due date
 - Late homework: penalty of 50% (<I day), 80% (I-2 days), 100% (> 2days)
- Your solutions must be intelligible
 - Be ready to explain your soln's verbally, and convince others & **yourself**
- **Collaboration** is encouraged!
 - Group of \leq 3 people, brainstorm etc.
 - Write up your solutions independently
 - Mark the names of collaborators on each problem
- Tips: start early!

Policy

ZERO TOLERANCE

Academic Integrity

- Follow the PSU Student Conduct Code
 - http://www.pdx.edu/dos/codeofconduct
- Any academic dishonesty will be taken seriously!
- Academic accommodations
 - Register with the Disability Resource Center (https://www.pdx.edu/drc/) and contact me

Announcement

- Next week (Jan. 17, 19): class cancelled
 - Due to QIP'I7 (https://stationq.microsoft.com/qip-2017/)
- Makeup lectures



Winter'17 Quantum Day @ Portland

A Day Trip to Quantum computing and Cryptography

- <u>http://fangsong.info/activity/wl7qpdx/</u>
- Friday, January 13 @ University Place Hotel & Conf. center
- Attend at least one of the four talks
- Email me in advance if you can make none, Plan B available
 - http://fangsong.info/teaching/w17_4585_icrypto/#mu
- Will appear in Homework

Today

- 1. History
- 2. Principles of modern Cryptography
- 3. Review: mathematical background
- 4. Symmetric encryption: Perfect secrecy



The setting of private-key encryption

• Call a cipher an *encryption* scheme



- Syntax of private-key (symmetric) encryption
 - k: private-key (shared-/secret-key)
 - *m*: plaintext
 - c: ciphertext
 - *E*: encryption algorithm
 - *D*: decryption algorithm

Ceasar's cipher

- Example cryptoisfun fubswlvixq
- Rule

a b c d ... y z
$$\{a,...,z\} = \{0,...25\}$$

• $k = 3$ fixed
d e f g ... b c • $E(m_i) = (m_i + 3) \mod 26$

• What if you know it's encoded by C's cipher?

Kerckhoffs' principle



The cipher method must **NOT** be required to be secret, and it must be able to fall into the hands of the **enemy** without inconvenience.

i.e. security rely solely on *secrecy* of the *key*

- I. Easier to **keep secrecy of & change** a short key than complex enc/dec algorithms
- 2. More trust via public scrutiny
- 3. Easier to maintain at large-scale

Only use *standardized* cryptosystems whenever possible!

Extension: shift & sub cipher

• Shift cipher

$$\{a,...,z\} = \{0,...25\}$$

• Pick $k \in \{0, ..., 25\}$ and keep it secret

•
$$E(m_i) = (m_i + k) \mod 26$$

- But, only 26 possibilities, *brute-force* search!
- Ex. decipher "dszqupjtgvo"
- Substitution cipher
 - key k defines a *permutation* on the alphabet
 - Ex. encrypt "cryptoisfun" under the following key

abcd efghi j klmnopqr s tuvwxyz xeuadnbkvmroc qf syhwgl z i j pt

• How many Possible keys?

$$26! \approx 2^{88}$$

Attacking substitution cipher



- Cipher preserves frequency: one-to-one correspondence
- Frequency distribution in English language is publicly known
- Typical sentences close to average frequency distribution

GSD UVPSDH CDGSFA CLWG QFG ED HDYLVHDA GF ED WDUHDG

D - 18, G - 14, Q - 9,

Ex. Decipher it by hand or online solver

• Can automate and improve the attack on shift cipher too

Poly-alphabetic shift cipher

- a.k.a The Vigenère cipher
 - Key: a string of letters
 - Encrypt:

Key:	psu psu psu psu psu psu	
Plaintext:	cry pto isf una ndc ool	
Ciphertext:	rjs eli xkzjfu cvwdgf	,

- Considered "unbreakable" for >300 years
- Attack
 - Key length known: frequency analysis on each sub-string
 - How to determine the key length? Read [KL]

Poly-alphabetic substitution cipher

• Example: Enigma machine in WWII







• Attack: same principle as before

D

Lessons from historical ciphers

• Designing secure ciphers is hard

- Looks unbreakable \neq is unbreakable
- Intelligent but mostly an *art*

Little idea about

- is a cipher secure?
- under what circumstances?
- what'es "secure" even mean?





Today

- 1. History
- 2. Principles of modern Cryptography
- 3. Review: mathematical background
- 4. Symmetric encryption: Perfect secrecy

1. Formal **definitions** of security

- What is the "security" that you want to achieve exactly?
- Guide the design and properly evaluate a construction
- Know better what you need

- 2. Rigorous **proofs** of security
 - The only known method to reason against (unaccountably) many possible attacking strategies
 - Never rely on your pure impression

3. Precise assumptions

- Unconditional security is often impossible to prove
- Be **precise**, for validating and comparing schemes

Assume "my construction satisfies the definition"

VS.

Assume "factoring 1000-bit integer cannot be done in less than 1000 steps"

- More confidence in well-studied than ad hoc assumption
- Easier to test simple-stated assumptions
- Modularity: replace a building block when needed

Recall: conventional crypto is unclear about

- is a cipher secure?
- under what circumstances?
- what'es "secure" even mean?

Formal definitions of security
 Rigorous proofs of security
 Precise assumptions

Provable security & real-world

A scheme has been proven secure



it's secure in the real world

- Is the definition right?
 - Not match what is needed
 - Not capture attackers' true abilities
- Is the assumption meaningful?

But you (the defender), instead of attackers, have more in charge

• You'll know exactly where to look at and improve: refine defs, test assumptions, ...

Good reads on crypto history



Source: amazon.com

Today

- 1. History
- 2. Principles of modern Cryptography
- 3. Review: mathematical background
- 4. Symmetric encryption: Perfect secrecy

Sets

- Basic notations
 - ∃ "exist", ∀ "for all",
 - $\{0,1\}$ a bit, $\{0,1\}^k$ string of k bits
 - s.t. "such that", iff. "if & only if"
 - \coloneqq assign or define
- (Discrete) Sets: *A*, *B*, *X*, *Z* usually capital letters
 - Union " \cup ", intersection " \cap ", subtraction " $A \setminus B$ "
 - |A| denotes the size of A
 - \mathbb{N} : natural numbers
 - \mathbb{Z} : set of integers

Functions

- Functions $f: A \rightarrow B$
 - One-to-one (injective) $\rightarrow |A| \leq |B|$
 - Onto (surjective) $\rightarrow |A| \ge |B|$
 - One-one correspondence (bijective) $\rightarrow |A| = |B|$
 - $\log x$ base 2, $\ln x$ natural logarithm base e

Asymptotic notation

- Let $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be functions. f = O(g) iff. $\exists c$ constant s.t. $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ for sufficiently large n.
- $f = o(g), f = \Omega(g), f = \omega(g)$
- Review if necessary: Chapter 3 <u>Introduction to Algorithms</u>, By Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest and Stein.

Probability

- (Discrete) Sample space Ω
 - set of all possible outcomes of a random experiment
 - A probability associated with each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\Pr(\omega) = p_{\omega}$.
- **Event** $E \subseteq \Omega$: a subset of the sample space
 - Pr(E): probability of an event occurs
 - $\overline{E} \coloneqq \Omega \setminus E$ complement event, $\Pr(\overline{E}) = 1 \Pr(E)$
- Ex. Roll a fair dice
 - $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}, \Pr(\omega) = \frac{1}{6}, \omega = 1, \dots, 6.$
 - $E = \{1,3,5\}$ dice being odd, & Pr(E) = 1/2

Probability cont'd

- Union bound Let $E, F \subseteq S$ be two events. Then $Pr(E \cup F) \leq Pr(E) + Pr(F)$.
- Conditional probability
 - Assume Pr(A) > 0. $Pr(B|A) \coloneqq Pr(A \cap B)/Pr(A)$.

Bayes' theorem

Let $E, F \subseteq S$ be two events and Pr(F) > 0. Then $Pr(E|F) = Pr(F|E) \cdot Pr(E) / Pr(F)$.

Independence

• Events A, B are independent iff. Pr(B|A) = Pr(B). i.e. $Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A) \cdot Pr(B)$

Probability cont'd

- Random variable $X: \Omega \to Z$
 - Z usually \mathbb{R} (real num.), i.e. assign each outcome a number
 - "X = x" is the event $E = \{ \omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = x \}$
 - Independent random variables: X, Y are indep. iff. for all possible x and y, events X = x and Y = y are indep.
- Expectation: a weighed average
 - $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{z \in Z} \Pr(X = z) \cdot z$
 - Linearity: $\mathbb{E}[X + Y] = \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$ (need no indep.)
- Ex. Ω = roll 4 dices indep,
 - Let $X(\omega)$ be the sum of 4 rolls in $s \in S$.
 - Ex. $\mathbb{E}[X] = 3.5 * 4 = 14$ (HW)

Probability cont'd

- In CS, often use a random variable with values in $Z = \{0,1\}^k$
- Probability distribution *D*
 - Over a set S: sample space is S and prob. p_s is specified for all $s \in S$ ($\sum_{s \in S} p_s = 1$).
 - Of a random variable $X: \Omega \to Z, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \Pr(X = x)$ is specified
 - $s \leftarrow S$: sample a elem. from S uniformly at random
 - $s \leftarrow_D S$: sample according to distribution D
- Read Tail bounds [KL A.3] HW

Algorithms

- Deterministic algorithm
 - A procedure that computes a function on an input
 - Computational models: circuit, Turing machine
- Randomized (probabilistic) algorithm
 - Unbiased random bits as auxiliary input
 - Model: Turing machine w. an extra uniformly random tape
 - Often more efficient (w. small error)
 - Ex. Quicksort with random pivot n^2 vs. nlogn

Will pick up more along the way: linear algebra, number theory, group theory

- Useful references
 - MIT 6.042 <u>Mathematics for computer science</u>
 - <u>A Computational Introduction to Number Theory and</u> <u>Algebra</u> by Victor Shoup.
 - <u>Probability and Computing: Randomized Algorithms and</u> <u>Probabilistic Analysis</u>, by Michael Mitzenmacher & Eli Upfal.

Today

- 1. History
- 2. Principles of modern Cryptography
- 3. Review: mathematical background
- 4. Symmetric encryption: Perfect secrecy